



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

QUALCOMM, INC.  
Attn: Patent Department  
5775 Morehouse Drive  
San Diego, CA 92121-1714

**COPY MAILED**

JUN 05 2006

In re Application of :  
SIH, Gilbert, Christopher, et al. :  
Application No.: 09/986,222 :  
Filed: October 22, 2001 :  
Atty's Docket No.: 000276 :  
Title: VELOCITY RESPONSIVE :  
FILTERING FOR PILOT SIGNAL :  
RECEPTION :

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**  
DECISION ON PETITION UNDER  
37 CFR 1.137(b)

This is a decision on the petition for revival of the above-entitled application under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed February 09, 2006.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to file a proper response in a timely manner to the Notice Of Allowability (Form PTOL-37) mailed October 28, 2005, which set a statutory period for reply of three (3) months. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned at midnight on January 28, 2006.

On February 09, 2006, applicant filed the petition for revival under 37 CFR 1.137(b) considered herein. The petition satisfies the conditions for revival pursuant to the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that it includes: (1) the petition fee; (2) the required statement of unintentional delay;<sup>1</sup> and (3) the required reply in the form of revised drawings responsive to the Notice Of Allowability. Accordingly, the response to the Notice Of Allowability mailed October 28, 2005 is accepted as being unintentionally delayed.

The application is being referred to the Office Of Publications. Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3296.

Richard M. Ross  
Attorney Advisor

<sup>1</sup> The statement in the petition for revival that "this application became abandoned unintentional" is construed as being the statement required by 37 CFR 1.137(b)(3), that is, a statement that the "entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional." Applicant **must** notify this Office if this is **not** a correct interpretation of this statement.